

# Test Email

Friday, February 6, 2026  
4:04 PM

Subject	<b>Test Email</b>
From	<a href="#">Harris, Joel</a>
To	jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org
Sent	Wednesday, July 27, 2022 2:05 PM

Testingtesting 123

## ***Joel Harris***

*Water Resource Specialist*

[Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation](#)

*Compact Implementation Program*

406.444.1270

[harrisj@mt.gov](mailto:harrisj@mt.gov)

## RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: Compact Info Question

Friday, February 6, 2026  
4:04 PM

Subject	RE: [EXTERNAL] RE: Compact Info Question
From	<a href="#">Harris, Joel</a>
To	Jack Venrick
Sent	Wednesday, July 27, 2022 3:11 PM

That is mostly true for both. For folks with existing water rights on the reservation, nothing changes in terms of their water right existing and being valid. If they wanted to change the purpose of the right, however, or to add more volume to the right, they would need to apply to the Flathead Reservation Water Management Board for a change rather than the DNRC. So there are changes for folks on the reservation, but they have more to do with process.

**From:** Jack Venrick <jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 27, 2022 3:03 PM  
**To:** Harris, Joel <HarrisJ@mt.gov>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RE: Compact Info Question

Joel –

When you said “Folks with existing water rights nothing has changed.” Did you mean on reservation, only or off or both?

Thanks.

Jack

**From:** Harris, Joel [<mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 27, 2022 2:19 PM  
**To:** [jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org](mailto:jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org)  
**Subject:** Compact Info

Jack,  
This [FAQ document](#) about the CSKT Compact should have some helpful information. Since you are located off-reservation, I don't think you need to worry about the numerous questions related to state-based registrations in here. As I mentioned, you can simply file a [form no. 602](#) for your water use and send it to the Kalispell Regional Office (address is at the bottom of the form). More directly relevant to you would be question 14 (“Does the Water Management Board have jurisdiction over water rights located off the Reservation?”) to which the answer is no.

In our call, I alluded to Article III.G.1. of the Compact which is about the protection from call of non-irrigation water rights (including domestic wells), both those on and off the reservation:

*“G. Call Protection.*

*1. Non-Irrigators. The Tribes, on behalf of themselves and the users of any portion of the Tribal Water Right set forth in this Compact, and the United States agree to relinquish their right to exercise the Tribal Water Right to make a Call against any Water Right Arising Under State Law whose purpose(s) do(es) not include irrigation.”*

III.G.2-6 describe other categories of rights protected from call.

***Joel Harris***

*Water Resource Specialist*

[Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation](#)

*Compact Implementation Program*

406.444.1270

[harrisj@mt.gov](mailto:harrisj@mt.gov)

# Compact Info

Friday, February 6, 2026  
4:04 PM

Subject	<b>Compact Info</b>
From	<a href="#">Harris, Joel</a>
To	jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org
Sent	Wednesday, July 27, 2022 2:18 PM

Jack,

This [FAQ document](#) about the CSKT Compact should have some helpful information. Since you are located off-reservation, I don't think you need to worry about the numerous questions related to state-based registrations in here. As I mentioned, you can simply file a [form no. 602](#) for your water use and send it to the Kalispell Regional Office (address is at the bottom of the form). More directly relevant to you would be question 14 ("Does the Water Management Board have jurisdiction over water rights located off the Reservation?") to which the answer is no.

In our call, I alluded to Article III.G.1. of the Compact which is about the protection from call of non-irrigation water rights (including domestic wells), both those on and off the reservation:

*"G. Call Protection.*

*1. Non-Irrigators. The Tribes, on behalf of themselves and the users of any portion of the Tribal Water Right set forth in this Compact, and the United States agree to relinquish their right to exercise the Tribal Water Right to make a Call against any Water Right Arising Under State Law whose purpose(s) do(es) not include irrigation."*

III.G.2-6 describe other categories of rights protected from call.

## ***Joel Harris***

*Water Resource Specialist*

[Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation](#)


*Compact Implementation Program*

406.444.1270

[harrisj@mt.gov](mailto:harrisj@mt.gov)

# RE: [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

Friday, February 6, 2026  
4:04 PM

Subject	<b>RE: [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel</b>
From	<a href="#">Harris, Joel</a>
To	Jack Venrick
Sent	Thursday, July 28, 2022 8:15 AM
Attachments	 Jack Notes Montana C...

That looks great, Jack. I made some additions to polish it up a little and to correct some fine points.

Yes, the 1855 Hellgate Treaty established the Flathead Indian Reservation. I mentioned on the phone how this treaty (being the only “Stevens Treaty” in Montana—i.e., negotiated by Isaac Stevens) is unique among treaties establishing reservations in Montana in having language (like other Stevens Treaties with Columbia basin tribes) that give legal basis for instream flow rights both off and on the reservation. During negotiations, the Tribes relinquished the majority of off-reservation rights that they could have claimed under their aboriginal territory, but the Compact does recognize a number of them in western Montana in rivers like the Bitterroot and Blackfoot.

**From:** Jack Venrick <jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 27, 2022 3:52 PM  
**To:** Harris, Joel <HarrisJ@mt.gov>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

Joel –

As promised here is the first draft of what I heard you say.

- Please correct anything as in add or delete.
- Also you mentioned the 1855 Treaty in the first part of our conversation however, I lost the connection to the point.
- Please feel free to add that or anything else relevant.

Thanks again for your help.

Jack Venrick  
Rollins, Montana

# RE: [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

Friday, February 6, 2026  
4:04 PM

Subject	RE: [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel
From	<a href="#">Harris, Joel</a>
To	Jack Venrick
Sent	Tuesday, September 6, 2022 8:33 AM

Sorry Jack. I must have missed your earlier message. I just read the linked article. I have read that once before and find it to be inaccurate. We could discuss this point by point, if you'd like. The gist of this seems to be that Montana Citizens "Will lose their Water Rights!" under the compact and that there is therefore a fifth and fourteenth amendment issue with taking without due process / compensation. But state-law based water rights are explicitly recognized and protected by language in the compact (see Article III.G).

The Compact seeks to quantify the tribal reserved right in a way that won't affect existing, non-federal water rights. The Compact represents a settlement of the State, Tribes, and Federal Government—a compromise that seeks to find a way for all parties' needs to be satisfied. If, on the other hand, the Tribes had decided to pursue their water claims through litigation, the result would likely have been them ending up with a much larger package of water rights across western Montana that would almost certainly have affected existing, state-law based water users.

Let me know if you'd like to talk on the phone or would like a more full answer from us on some of the points made in the article.

## *Joel Harris*

*Water Resource Specialist*

[Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation](#)

*Compact Implementation Program*

406.444.1270

[harrisj@mt.gov](mailto:harrisj@mt.gov)

**From:** Jack Venrick <jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org>

**Sent:** Friday, September 2, 2022 5:04 PM

**To:** Harris, Joel <HarrisJ@mt.gov>

**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

Joel –

I sent you an email some time ago asking for your comments on this article.

- <https://larkchadwick.substack.com/p/save-your-water-rights>  
[\[larkchadwick.substack.com\]](#)
- Can you comment please.

Sincerely,

Jack Venrick

## Rollins, Montana

**From:** Harris, Joel [<mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov>]

**Sent:** Thursday, July 28, 2022 8:16 AM

**To:** Jack Venrick

**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

That looks great, Jack. I made some additions to polish it up a little and to correct some fine points.

Yes, the 1855 Hellgate Treaty established the Flathead Indian Reservation. I mentioned on the phone how this treaty (being the only “Stevens Treaty” in Montana—i.e., negotiated by Isaac Stevens) is unique among treaties establishing reservations in Montana in having language (like other Stevens Treaties with Columbia basin tribes) that give legal basis for instream flow rights both off and on the reservation. During negotiations, the Tribes relinquished the majority of off-reservation rights that they could have claimed under their aboriginal territory, but the Compact does recognize a number of them in western Montana in rivers like the Bitterroot and Blackfoot.

**From:** Jack Venrick <[jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org](mailto:jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, July 27, 2022 3:52 PM

**To:** Harris, Joel <[HarrisJ@mt.gov](mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

Joel –

As promised here is the first draft of what I heard you say.

- Please correct anything as in add or delete.
- Also you mentioned the 1855 Treaty in the first part of our conversation however, I lost the connection to the point.
- Please feel free to add that or anything else relevant.

Thanks again for your help.

Jack Venrick

Rollins, Montana

# RE: [EXTERNAL] Western Montana Water Rights

Friday, February 6, 2026  
4:04 PM

Subject	RE: [EXTERNAL] Western Montana Water Rights
From	<a href="#">Harris, Joel</a>
To	Jack Venrick
Sent	Wednesday, September 14, 2022 2:39 PM

Jack,

Here are a few more thoughts on the points raised by the posts from the link you sent. I meant to give you a call before sending this, but I seem to have lost your number in my notes, so please give me a call if you'd like to discuss some of this more in depth or get more context.

- I wanted to clarify some info from the initial post by Jan Rogers about the Water Court notice sent out to approximately 70,000 water right holders in Western Montana. It's not entirely clear what Jan Rogers means by "when asked where the other 100,000+ letters were, they had no idea." I'm guessing that Jan is thinking that around 160,000 people live in the notice area and therefore all should have been noticed. Water Court decree notices, however, are sent to water right owners. The notice was sent to all water right owners west of the divide, aside from a small group of around 1,400 whose rights were in a "Suspended" status and who were initially overlooked. These 1400 suspended rights were groundwater well filings received by the DNRC from the reservation after the MT Supreme Court had ruled in August of 1996 (and in a couple of subsequent cases) that the DNRC no longer had authority to issue permits, certificates, or changes on the reservation. The compact and ordinance lay out a process for these suspended rights to be approved by the new Flathead Water Board after verifying that the filings met the requirements under state law at the time of their filing. We realized we had overlooked these and sent out notice to these folks in July.
- Scrolling down to the "Save Your Water Rights!" post, I have already briefly covered the claim that folks will lose their water rights if the CSKT Water Compact is finally decreed by the MT Water Court. I would point to the protection from call language in the compact I cited in my previous email as one important point. A point that the State negotiators were immovable on during negotiations and that the Tribes would come to fully support is that all verified state-based water uses on the reservation are recognized by the compact, and the vast majority of them are protected from call. Many tribal members, after all, have state law-based water rights themselves and overwhelmingly supported ensuring that those uses of theirs and their non-tribal neighbors are protected by the settlement.
- The post says that the Compact results in the Flathead Irrigation Project rights being "transferred to the Tribe." The project is an irrigation project on an Indian reservation, so just as the water right of the Project on the Blackfeet Reservation is in the name of "USA (Dept of Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs) in Trust for the Blackfeet Tribe," so too is the project right on the Flathead Indian Reservation which is under "USA Dept of Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs) in Trust for the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes." There's no transfer happening here. The BIA will continue to operate the irrigation project. The Flathead Water Board has no authority over that water once it is diverted from the source. Additionally, the Flathead Water Board is itself independent from the Tribes. It consists of two members appointed by the state, two appointed by the Tribes, and a fifth selected by the original four.
- Another paragraph says people "Will lose the protection of state law and not have control over the use of their water!" The compact could be said to be an agreement that brings federal reserved water rights (i.e., rights based in federal law) into compatibility with state law-based water rights. The federal reserved rights clearly pre-date the state-law based rights. So if the Tribes had decided to go the litigation route in order to claim the full extent of their reserved right under the law, they would likely have ended up with a much broader set of rights in western Montana—all with 1855 and "Time Immemorial" priority dates that would have had

precedence under the prior appropriation doctrine over state-law based rights. Instead, the compact provides for call protection for most state-law based rights and for a “Shared Shortage” management approach to water on the reservation for periods of drought or low water when there isn’t enough water to satisfy both the project right and the tribal instream flow rights to their full extent. In essence, the compact provides for a sharing approach in times of shortage. There is even a right to approximately 90,000 acre feet per year out of Hungry Horse Reservoir that is conditioned to act as buffer water in times of shortage to ensure that all parties are getting as close as possible to the amount they’ve always gotten. Without the compact, none of these protections would exist.

- As for the sentence “Your wells can be metered and you can be required to pay a third party for water used from your well!” it’s difficult to tell what the blogger is talking about. There is a measurement requirement for Development Domestic Well allowances (See section 2-2-117(6) of the Ordinance)—these are wells with higher than typical volumes supplying water to numerous homes simultaneously. There is a requirement to measure a cumulative annual volume in order to prove that the use is in compliance with the volume limitation. But this Development Domestic Well allowance is a brand new application type for new developments. No existing wells are going to have a measurement requirement applied or a fee required.
- Finally, a note on the discussion of the land exchange. Please see this page on the DNRC website for more info on [the exchange](#). I would point out that it is simply that—an exchange of land. The blogger goes on immediately after mention of this exchange to discuss “taking property without compensation,” implying that there is a taking and not an exchange. This strikes me as misleading. The land exchange is a bargained for opportunity for the Tribes to reclaim some of the state trust land on the reservation in exchange for the state trust land system gaining an equal amount of land from Federal public lands outside the Reservation. This was an opportunity for both the Tribes and state to benefit—the Tribes because it would allow them to regain land adjacent to existing trust land on the reservation and the state because it could potentially receive land that is less dispersed, more accessible, more easily manageable, and with potentially better revenue generating potential.

### **Joel Harris**

*Water Resource Specialist*

[Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation](#)

*Compact Implementation Program*

406.444.1270

[harrisj@mt.gov](mailto:harrisj@mt.gov)

**From:** Jack Venrick <jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org>

**Sent:** Tuesday, September 6, 2022 9:29 AM

**To:** Harris, Joel <HarrisJ@mt.gov>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Western Montana Water Rights

Joel –

Good to hear back from you was worried.

Because of the December 6, 2022 deadline for filing objections there is a call from the two groups below for objections to be filed ASAP.

I wanted to get your statement in writing because those of us who are deeply concerned about the agenda of the tribes, State and Fed need to hear what you are saying. Whether they believe it or not is up to them. I want to pass this on to my property rights group and the two water rights sites:

<http://SaveYourWaterRights.org> [[saveyourwaterrights.org](http://saveyourwaterrights.org)]

or [westernwaterrights.wordpress.com](https://westernwaterrights.wordpress.com) [[westernmtwaterrights.wordpress.com](https://westernmtwaterrights.wordpress.com)]

And Yes to your last statement below re. “a more full answer from us on some of the points made in the article.” If you could do this in an email or Word doc. Then I will wait and share it with the others.

- Or you could directly reply on the Western Montana Water Rights blog - <https://westernmtwaterrights.wordpress.com/> [[westernmtwaterrights.wordpress.com](https://westernmtwaterrights.wordpress.com/)].
- Or both of the above.
- I do not need to be in the middle just think we should have both sides of this debate being heard.
- Dr. Kate is considered the most knowledgeable and she is the main blogger in Western Montana Water Rights. She posts under the name ICTHE4EST.
- You might scan the blog especially the current posts on the home page with the recent posts directly linked up on the lower left margin.

Hope this makes sense. I believe you could be of great service here to field the property owners concerns more directly as this December 6, 2022 dead line is fast approaching.

I will standby to hear from you.

Jack Venrick  
Rollins, Montana

**From:** Harris, Joel [<mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov>]

**Sent:** Tuesday, September 06, 2022 8:33 AM

**To:** Jack Venrick

**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

Sorry Jack. I must have missed your earlier message. I just read the linked article. I have read that once before and find it to be inaccurate. We could discuss this point by point, if you'd like. The gist of this seems to be that Montana Citizens “Will lose their Water Rights!” under the compact and that there is therefore a fifth and fourteenth amendment issue with taking without due process / compensation. But state-law based water rights are explicitly recognized and protected by language in the compact (see Article III.G).

The Compact seeks to quantify the tribal reserved right in a way that won't affect existing, non-federal water rights. The Compact represents a settlement of the State, Tribes, and Federal Government—a compromise that seeks to find a way for all parties' needs to be satisfied. If, on the other hand, the Tribes had decided to pursue their water claims through litigation, the result would likely have been them ending up with a much larger package of water rights across western Montana that would almost certainly have affected existing, state-law based water users.

Let me know if you'd like to talk on the phone or would like a more full answer from us on some of the points made in the article.

**Joel Harris**

Water Resource Specialist

[Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation](#)

Compact Implementation Program

406.444.1270

[harrisj@mt.gov](mailto:harrisj@mt.gov)

**From:** Jack Venrick <[jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org](mailto:jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org)>

**Sent:** Friday, September 2, 2022 5:04 PM

**To:** Harris, Joel <[HarrisJ@mt.gov](mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

Joel –

I sent you an email some time ago asking for your comments on this article.

- <https://larkchadwick.substack.com/p/save-your-water-rights>  
[\[larkchadwick.substack.com\]](https://larkchadwick.substack.com)
- Can you comment please.

Sincerely,

Jack Venrick  
Rollins, Montana

**From:** Harris, Joel [<mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov>]

**Sent:** Thursday, July 28, 2022 8:16 AM

**To:** Jack Venrick

**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

That looks great, Jack. I made some additions to polish it up a little and to correct some fine points.

Yes, the 1855 Hellgate Treaty established the Flathead Indian Reservation. I mentioned on the phone how this treaty (being the only “Stevens Treaty” in Montana—i.e., negotiated by Isaac Stevens) is unique among treaties establishing reservations in Montana in having language (like other Stevens Treaties with Columbia basin tribes) that give legal basis for instream flow rights both off and on the reservation. During negotiations, the Tribes relinquished the majority of off-reservation rights that they could have claimed under their aboriginal territory, but the Compact does recognize a number of them in western Montana in rivers like the Bitterroot and Blackfoot.

**From:** Jack Venrick <[jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org](mailto:jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, July 27, 2022 3:52 PM

**To:** Harris, Joel <[HarrisJ@mt.gov](mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

Joel –

As promised here is the first draft of what I heard you say.

- Please correct anything as in add or delete.
- Also you mentioned the 1855 Treaty in the first part of our

conversation however, I lost the connection to the point.

- Please feel free to add that or anything else relevant.

Thanks again for your help.

Jack Venrick  
Rollins, Montana

# E: [EXTERNAL] Western Montana Water Rights

Friday, February 6, 2026  
4:05 PM

Subject	RE: [EXTERNAL] Western Montana Water Rights
From	<a href="#">Harris, Joel</a>
To	Jack Venrick
Sent	Friday, September 16, 2022 7:49 AM

Thanks, Jack. I'm down in Helena, so it's a little harder to make some of these. Hope the talk was good last night.

**From:** Jack Venrick <jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 15, 2022 1:20 PM  
**To:** Harris, Joel <HarrisJ@mt.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Western Montana Water Rights

Thanks Joel, just printed it out and sped read it - will reread later. Good stuff to set the record straight. I will take copies to meeting tonight if I go.

- Please see the attached email for a gathering tonight (6PM -8PM) at the Eagles Club 37 1<sup>st</sup> St West Kalispell.
  - Dr. Annie Bukacek will be presenting "Safeguarding Your Access to Power and Water."
- Also see the attached presentation by Linda Sauer at the GOP Pachyderm Meeting in Polson Sept 9, 2022 I believe.
  - Another speaker you may be interested in and want to attend is below Dr. Kate Vandemoer she does most of the posting on Western Water Rights Blog
  - Upcoming Pachyderm Speakers are:
    - Dr Kate Vandemoer will be speaking about the Water compact and the Water Rights for Western Montana citizens
- You can contact Linda Reffner for dates and times and location in Polson, her email is in first attached email.

Jack Venrick  
20561 Wild Horse Ranch Road  
Rollins, Montana 59931

**From:** Harris, Joel [<mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 14, 2022 2:40 PM  
**To:** Jack Venrick  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Western Montana Water Rights

Jack,  
Here are a few more thoughts on the points raised by the posts from the link you sent. I meant to give

you a call before sending this, but I seem to have lost your number in my notes, so please give me a call if you'd like to discuss some of this more in depth or get more context.

- I wanted to clarify some info from the initial post by Jan Rogers about the Water Court notice sent out to approximately 70,000 water right holders in Western Montana. It's not entirely clear what Jan Rogers means by "when asked where the other 100,000+ letters were, they had no idea." I'm guessing that Jan is thinking that around 160,000 people live in the notice area and therefore all should have been noticed. Water Court decree notices, however, are sent to water right owners. The notice was sent to all water right owners west of the divide, aside from a small group of around 1,400 whose rights were in a "Suspended" status and who were initially overlooked. These 1400 suspended rights were groundwater well filings received by the DNRC from the reservation after the MT Supreme Court had ruled in August of 1996 (and in a couple of subsequent cases) that the DNRC no longer had authority to issue permits, certificates, or changes on the reservation. The compact and ordinance lay out a process for these suspended rights to be approved by the new Flathead Water Board after verifying that the filings met the requirements under state law at the time of their filing. We realized we had overlooked these and sent out notice to these folks in July.
- Scrolling down to the "Save Your Water Rights!" post, I have already briefly covered the claim that folks will lose their water rights if the CSKT Water Compact is finally decreed by the MT Water Court. I would point to the protection from call language in the compact I cited in my previous email as one important point. A point that the State negotiators were immovable on during negotiations and that the Tribes would come to fully support is that all verified state-based water uses on the reservation are recognized by the compact, and the vast majority of them are protected from call. Many tribal members, after all, have state law-based water rights themselves and overwhelmingly supported ensuring that those uses of theirs and their non-tribal neighbors are protected by the settlement.
- The post says that the Compact results in the Flathead Irrigation Project rights being "transferred to the Tribe." The project is an irrigation project on an Indian reservation, so just as the water right of the Project on the Blackfeet Reservation is in the name of "USA (Dept of Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs) in Trust for the Blackfeet Tribe," so too is the project right on the Flathead Indian Reservation which is under "USA Dept of Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs) in Trust for the Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes." There's no transfer happening here. The BIA will continue to operate the irrigation project. The Flathead Water Board has no authority over that water once it is diverted from the source. Additionally, the Flathead Water Board is itself independent from the Tribes. It consists of two members appointed by the state, two appointed by the Tribes, and a fifth selected by the original four.
- Another paragraph says people "Will lose the protection of state law and not have control over the use of their water!" The compact could be said to be an agreement that brings federal reserved water rights (i.e., rights based in federal law) into compatibility with state law-based water rights. The federal reserved rights clearly pre-date the state-law based rights. So if the Tribes had decided to go the litigation route in order to claim the full extent of their reserved right under the law, they would likely have ended up with a much broader set of rights in western Montana—all with 1855 and "Time Immemorial" priority dates that would have had precedence under the prior appropriation doctrine over state-law based rights. Instead, the compact provides for call protection for most state-law based rights and for a "Shared Shortage" management approach to water on the reservation for periods of drought or low water when there isn't enough water to satisfy both the project right and the tribal instream flow rights to their full extent. In essence, the compact provides for a sharing approach in times of shortage. There is even a right to approximately 90,000 acre feet per year out of Hungry Horse Reservoir that is conditioned to act as buffer water in times of shortage to ensure that all parties are getting as close as possible to the amount they've always gotten. Without the compact, none of these protections would exist.
- As for the sentence "Your wells can be metered and you can be required to pay a third

party for water used from your well!” it’s difficult to tell what the blogger is talking about. There is a measurement requirement for Development Domestic Well allowances (See section 2-2-117(6) of the Ordinance)—these are wells with higher than typical volumes supplying water to numerous homes simultaneously. There is a requirement to measure a cumulative annual volume in order to prove that the use is in compliance with the volume limitation. But this Development Domestic Well allowance is a brand new application type for new developments. No existing wells are going to have a measurement requirement applied or a fee required.

- Finally, a note on the discussion of the land exchange. Please see this page on the DNRC website for more info on [the exchange](#). I would point out that it is simply that—an exchange of land. The blogger goes on immediately after mention of this exchange to discuss “taking property without compensation,” implying that there is a taking and not an exchange. This strikes me as misleading. The land exchange is a bargained for opportunity for the Tribes to reclaim some of the state trust land on the reservation in exchange for the state trust land system gaining an equal amount of land from Federal public lands outside the Reservation. This was an opportunity for both the Tribes and state to benefit—the Tribes because it would allow them to regain land adjacent to existing trust land on the reservation and the state because it could potentially receive land that is less dispersed, more accessible, more easily manageable, and with potentially better revenue generating potential.

**Joel Harris**

*Water Resource Specialist*

[Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation](#)

*Compact Implementation Program*

406.444.1270

[harrisj@mt.gov](mailto:harrisj@mt.gov)

**From:** Jack Venrick <[jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org](mailto:jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, September 6, 2022 9:29 AM

**To:** Harris, Joel <[HarrisJ@mt.gov](mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov)>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Western Montana Water Rights

Joel –

Good to hear back from you was worried.

Because of the December 6, 2022 deadline for filing objections there is a call from the two groups below for objections to be filed ASAP.

I wanted to get your statement in writing because those of us who are deeply concerned about the agenda of the tribes, State and Fed need to hear what you are saying. Whether they believe it or not is up to them. I want to pass this on to my property rights group and the two water rights sites:

<http://SaveYourWaterRights.org> [[saveyourwaterrights.org](http://saveyourwaterrights.org)]

or [westernwaterrights.wordpress.com](http://westernwaterrights.wordpress.com) [[westernmtwaterrights.wordpress.com](http://westernmtwaterrights.wordpress.com)]

And Yes to your last statement below re. “a more full answer from us on some of the points made in the article.” If you could do this in an email or Word doc. Then I will wait and share it with the others.

- Or you could directly reply on the Western Montana Water Rights

blog - <https://westernmtwaterrights.wordpress.com/westernmtwaterrights.wordpress.com>].

- Or both of the above.
- I do not need to be in the middle just think we should have both sides of this debate being heard.
- Dr. Kate is considered the most knowledgeable and she is the main blogger in Western Montana Water Rights. She posts under the name ICTHE4EST.
- You might scan the blog especially the current posts on the home page with the recent posts directly linked up on the lower left margin.

Hope this makes sense. I believe you could be of great service here to field the property owners concerns more directly as this December 6, 2022 dead line is fast approaching.

I will standby to hear from you.

Jack Venrick  
Rollins, Montana

**From:** Harris, Joel [<mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov>]

**Sent:** Tuesday, September 06, 2022 8:33 AM

**To:** Jack Venrick

**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

Sorry Jack. I must have missed your earlier message. I just read the linked article. I have read that once before and find it to be inaccurate. We could discuss this point by point, if you'd like. The gist of this seems to be that Montana Citizens "Will lose their Water Rights!" under the compact and that there is therefore a fifth and fourteenth amendment issue with taking without due process / compensation. But state-law based water rights are explicitly recognized and protected by language in the compact (see Article III.G).

The Compact seeks to quantify the tribal reserved right in a way that won't affect existing, non-federal water rights. The Compact represents a settlement of the State, Tribes, and Federal Government—a compromise that seeks to find a way for all parties' needs to be satisfied. If, on the other hand, the Tribes had decided to pursue their water claims through litigation, the result would likely have been them ending up with a much larger package of water rights across western Montana that would almost certainly have affected existing, state-law based water users.

Let me know if you'd like to talk on the phone or would like a more full answer from us on some of the points made in the article.

**Joel Harris**

*Water Resource Specialist*

[Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation](#)

*Compact Implementation Program*

406.444.1270

[harrisj@mt.gov](mailto:harrisj@mt.gov)

**From:** Jack Venrick <[jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org](mailto:jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org)>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 2, 2022 5:04 PM  
**To:** Harris, Joel <[HarrisJ@mt.gov](mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

Joel –

I sent you an email some time ago asking for your comments on this article.

- <https://larkchadwick.substack.com/p/save-your-water-rights>  
[\[larkchadwick.substack.com\]](https://larkchadwick.substack.com)
- Can you comment please.

Sincerely,

Jack Venrick  
Rollins, Montana

**From:** Harris, Joel [<mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 28, 2022 8:16 AM  
**To:** Jack Venrick  
**Subject:** RE: [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

That looks great, Jack. I made some additions to polish it up a little and to correct some fine points.

Yes, the 1855 Hellgate Treaty established the Flathead Indian Reservation. I mentioned on the phone how this treaty (being the only “Stevens Treaty” in Montana—i.e., negotiated by Isaac Stevens) is unique among treaties establishing reservations in Montana in having language (like other Stevens Treaties with Columbia basin tribes) that give legal basis for instream flow rights both off and on the reservation. During negotiations, the Tribes relinquished the majority of off-reservation rights that they could have claimed under their aboriginal territory, but the Compact does recognize a number of them in western Montana in rivers like the Bitterroot and Blackfoot.

**From:** Jack Venrick <[jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org](mailto:jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 27, 2022 3:52 PM  
**To:** Harris, Joel <[HarrisJ@mt.gov](mailto:HarrisJ@mt.gov)>  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Jack Notes Montana CSKT Compact Water Rights with Joel

Joel –

As promised here is the first draft of what I heard you say.

- Please correct anything as in add or delete.
- Also you mentioned the 1855 Treaty in the first part of our conversation however, I lost the connection to the point.
- Please feel free to add that or anything else relevant.

Thanks again for your help.

Jack Venrick  
Rollins, Montana

Saturday, March 7, 2026  
2:25 PM



Jack is  
working o...



HOA Well  
Sale Attor...



FW Wild  
Horse Ran...



FW Wild  
Horse Ran...



FW Reply  
to WHR H...



FW  
Flathead E...



FW Exhibit  
A of WHR ...



FW Call For  
Vote on ...



FW Call For  
Vote on ...



FW Call For  
Vote on ...



Emailing  
Lake Coun...



Call For  
Vote on ...



Your Well  
Legal Anal...



Your memo  
Dated 8-2...



Wild Horse



Wild Horse  
Ranch HO...



Wild Horse  
Ranch HO...



Wild Horse  
Ranch HO...



Wild Horse  
Ranch HO...



Wild Horse  
Ranch HO...



Wild Horse  
Ranch HO...



Wild Horse  
Ranch Co...



Wild Horse  
HOA Well ...



Wild Horse  
HOA Well ...



WHR Well  
Feedback ...



WHR HOA  
Well Go A...



W Horse  
HOA Vote ...



Status on  
each of th...



Shop  
Drawings



Reply to  
WHR HOA ...



RE Wild  
Horse Ran...



RE Wild  
Horse Ran...



Re Wild  
Horse Ran...



RE Wild  
Horse Ran...



RE Wild  
Horse HO...



Re Wild  
Horse HO...



RE Wild  
Horse HO...



RE Wild  
Horse HO...



RE Wild  
Horse HO...



Re Wild  
Horse HO...



RE Wild  
Horse HO...



Re Wild  
Horse HO...



RE Wild  
Horse HO...



Re Wild  
Horse HO...



Re Wild  
Horse HO...



RE Wild  
Horse HO...



Re Wild  
Horse HO...



Re Wild  
Horse HO...



RE Wild  
Horse HO...



RE Wild  
Horse HO...



Re Wild  
Horse HO...



Re Wild  
Horse HO...



RE Wild  
Horse HO...



Re Wild  
Horse HO...



RE Wild  
Horse HO...



Re Wild  
Horse HO...



Re WHR  
Well Feed...



Re WHR  
Well Feed...



Re WHR  
Well Feed...



Re WHR  
Well Feed...



Re WHR  
HOA Well ...



Re W Horse  
HOA Vote ...



RE W Horse  
HOA Vote ...



Re W Horse  
HOA Vote ...



RE Snowed  
in



Re Shop  
Drawings ...



RE Reply to  
WHR HOA ...



Re Reply to  
WHR HOA ...



Re Reply to  
WHR HOA ...



RE  
Montana's...



RE  
Montana's...



Re  
Montana's...



Re Lot 4  
Under Co...



Re Lot 4  
Under Co...



RE Lot 4  
Under Co...



Re Lot 4  
Under Co...



Re Lot 4  
Shop Vote...



Re Lot 4  
Shop Dra...



Re Lot 4  
Shop Dra...



RE In the  
Mail



Re HOA  
Well



Re HOA  
Well (38)



RE Flathead  
Electric Ea...



RE Flathead  
Electric Ea...



Re Exhibit  
A of WHR ...



RE Emailing  
Lake Cou...



Re Emailing  
Lake Cou...



RE Call For  
Vote on ...



RE Call For  
Vote on ...



RE Call For  
Vote on ...



Re Call For  
Vote on ...



RE Call For  
Vote on ...



Question re  
Wild Hors...



Out of  
Office Re ...



Montana's  
Ground-W...



Lot 4 Well  
Transaction



Lessons  
Learned o...

# As April 15 approaches, DO something about your taxes

Tuesday, March 17, 2026  
3:16 PM

Subject	<b>As April 15 approaches, DO something about your taxes</b>
From	<a href="#">Bob Barr</a>
To	jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org
Sent	Tuesday, March 17, 2026 1:31 PM



## Liberty Guard

Dear Patriot,

[The drive to “Axe the TAX” is gaining momentum, even from unexpected sources!](#)

President Trump has long been on record as wanting to do away with the Federal income tax.

Now, Democrat Senator Cory Booker (D-NJ) has put forward a proposal that, while it does not go AS far, is indicative of real desire in Congress to eliminate the Federal income tax!

---



**Fox News** ✓  
@FoxNews

WALLET RELIEF: Sen. Cory Booker unveils Keep Your Pay Act, eliminating federal income tax on first \$75,000 of income and cutting median family taxes by 85%.



12:10 PM · 09 Mar 26 · **641K** Views

As the economy falters and Congress is looking at options to help the economy, even the Left is looking at ditching the Federal income tax to provide families with help!

↻ Jack Hunter reposted



**Robert Barnes** ✓  
@barnes\_law

Subscribe

Very smart of Democrats to follow @RoKhanna lead on embracing populist policies like eliminating income taxes on more than half of Americans.



**Fox News** ✓ @FoxNews · 5h

WALLET RELIEF: Sen. Cory Booker unveils Keep Your Pay Act, eliminating federal income tax on first \$75,000 of income and cutting median family taxes by 85%. [foxnews.com/politics/sen-c...](https://foxnews.com/politics/sen-c...)

✎ Last edited 4:01 PM · 09 Mar 26

Now, of course we don't just want to eliminate taxes for half of Americans. We want to eliminate the Federal income tax for ALL Americans.

Imagine that. April 15 can be just another day in Spring again, not a day every American dreads.

Of course, the proposal our coalition is proposing goes further than Sen. Booker's one. Here's ours:

\* *Abolish the Federal Tax Code and repeal the 16th Amendment. NEVER any Federal income tax again.*

\* *No more income taxes or taxes on income of any kind, saving hard working Americans \$5.546 trillion which can be used to put food on the table, save, and pay off debt.*

\* *No more filing tax returns, saving a tremendous amount of anxiety and money.*

\* *A simple 10% tax on the total revenues of all US businesses and a structured tariff on all imports.*

\* *A systematic and permanent DOGE to root out waste, fraud, and abuse at all levels of government.*

**This is it. Downsizing the government, taking away the Federal income tax, is the way to make America more affordable again, and also stop corrupt politicians from spending our kids' money wastefully!**

**As April 15 approaches, help us push this through a divided Congress. With bipartisan support, this proposal CAN work! Donate to LG, urge your Congressman to support us, and help us tell Congress to GO BIG on tax reform!**

**DONATE NOW**

In Liberty,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Bob Barr', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Hon. Bob Barr  
Chairman, Liberty Guard  
Member of Congress, 1995-2003  
Paid for and Authorized by Liberty Guard, Inc.

**Our mailing address is:**

Liberty Guard, Inc. | 2120 POWERS FERRY RD., SUITE 125, ATLANTA, GA 30339

Want to change how you receive these emails?  
You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#).

FW: EXCLUSIVE INTERVIEW: DOGE Investigation Proves The CIA & USAID Worked With Communist China, George Soros' Open Society Foundation, & Venezuela To Steal The 2020 Election & Establish An Election Fraud Control Grid!

Monday, March 23, 2026  
4:54 PM


Subject	FW: EXCLUSIVE INTERVIEW: DOGE Investigation Proves The CIA & USAID Worked With Communist China, George Soros' Open Society Foundation, & Venezuela To Steal The 2020 Election & Establish An Election Fraud Control Grid!
From	<a href="#">Jack Venrick</a>
To	
Sent	Wednesday, August 13, 2025 12:11 PM

**Subject:** FW: EXCLUSIVE INTERVIEW: DOGE Investigation Proves The CIA & USAID Worked With Communist China, George Soros' Open Society Foundation, & Venezuela To Steal The 2020 Election & Establish An Election Fraud Control Grid!

bcc: My Property Rights Friends.

[Group 1](#), [Group 2](#), [Group 3](#), [Group 4](#), [Group 5](#), [Group 6](#), [Group 7](#), [Group 8](#), [Group 9](#), [Group 10](#), [Group 11](#)

**Subject:** Alex Jones Interview - Update on How Elections are Controlled in the US & Worldwide



<https://www.inforwars.com/posts/exclusive-interview-doge-investigation-proves-the-cia-usaid-worked-with-communist-china-george-soros-open-society-foundation-venezuela-to-steal-the-2020-election-establish>

> Click on the 2nd "Bombshell" graphic with the arrow.

- 1) **Must watch 58 minute interview by Alex Jones with Patrick Byrne**
  - o Patrick Byrne's credentials - <https://www.deepcapture.com/patrick-byrne/>
  - o Patrick Byrne's site - <https://www.deepcapture.com/>
- 2) **Bottomline - Whistle blowers of Intel agencies have come forward to testify that they have been manipulating elections in 73 nations for 20 years.**
  - o This appears to be a Soros + Obama + CIA + China network
  - o This new evidence again confirms the election manufacture apparatus and how it is used to thwart elections in the U.S. and worldwide.
  - o [Here is my old report Oct. 2, 2023](#) with research around these new findings.
  - o Watch Alex Jones on [www.inforwars.com](http://www.inforwars.com) daily for constant honest updates.

- His new daily broadcast schedule is roughly from 10AM to 2PMMDT and then he repeats the new material for 24 hours.
- He is also fighting for his life, his family life & his father & mother's life who are being lawfared into bankruptcy and health issues on a lie involvement with the the Sandy Hook School Shooting.
- According to Alex this case against him has been going on since & somewhat before 2012 orchestrated by our US Intel agency + the DOJ + the executive office at that time + a billionaire with initials MB.
- He supports [www.inforwars.com](http://www.inforwars.com) with the revenue from [www.inforwarsstore.com](http://www.inforwarsstore.com) and <https://thealexjonesstore.com/> selling vitamins and patriotic apparel.
- I can verify that our Montana local Flathead & Lake County officials have no clue that the election machines they are using & subsidized by the federal government is part of this apparatus.
  - And this is after they were told by Kirk McKenzie, an engineer's engineer in computing along with some 200 local Kalispell patriots to stop using any election machinery by these international corporations.
  - And our two counties surrounding Flathead Lake in Montana are ALL conservative commissioners believe it or not.
  - "There's none so blind as those who will not see"

Jack

Jack Venrick
Rollins, Montana
<a href="http://www.freedomforallseasons.org">www.freedomforallseasons.org</a>
<a href="mailto:jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org">jacksranch@freedomforallseasons.org</a>
Pioneer Family of Montana - Early Settlers
Pioneer Family of Nebraska
Pioneer Family of Wisconsin
The Boeing Company
30 Years Service - Retired
Montana State University
B.S. Electrical Engineering
M.S. Applied Science -
M.S. Business Administration
M.S. Industrial Engineering